UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,854	09/22/2006	Alexander Stiller	AG016	5769
	7590 12/14/200 LL TEVES, INC.	9	EXAMINER	
ONE CONTINI	ENTAL DRIVE		BEAULIEU, YONEL	
AUBURN HILLLS, MI 48326-1581			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3661	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/14/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/593,854	STILLER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	/Yonel Beaulieu/	3661				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	Lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	/ 					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-12</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-12</u> is/are rejected.	· <u> </u>					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	· <u> </u>					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	a.				
Attachment(s)	4) T late and decree 2000	(PTO 442)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 7 - 12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 7, 8, and 10 – 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by USP 4634142 to Woods et al ("Woods").

Regarding claims 7, 10, and 11, Woods teaches a method for controlling the damper force in vehicles having a ride level control system (illustrated in fig. 1) generating a signal (122) when the ride level control system is changing a vehicle ride level, transmitting the signal to a damper force control device (22) and adapting the damper force based on the signal while the ride level control system is changing the vehicle ride level, wherein the signal contains information about a control speed determined in advance and the damper force is adapted as a function of the control speed (col. 10, line 65 – col. 11, line 35; col. 11, lines 58 – 62; col. 12, lines 52 – 56; and col. 25, line 62 – col. 26, line 62 at least).

Regarding claim 8, Woods further teaches the damper force is reduced or increased (col. 8, line 68 – col. 9, line 21 at least).

Regarding claim 12, Woods further teaches the damper force is adapted as a function of at least one of steering movement/angle (during cornering), brake pressure, acceleration forces (col. 12, lines 9 – 10; col. 19, lines 5 – 13 at least).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Woods ('142) as applied to claims 7-8 above.

As discussed above, Woods teaches all of the limitations except for the explicit recitation of reducing damper force at control speeds which lie in a range defined by limiting values and increased when the values are exceeded.

However, Woods teaches all of the features of controlling damper forces in vehicles. it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention Woods' teaching is at least fully functionally equivalent to the claimed limitation because the same end result of controlling damper forces in vehicles is achieved.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to /Yonel Beaulieu/ whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Application/Control Number: 10/593,854 Page 5

Art Unit: 3661

6955. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon., Wed. & Thur. between 0900

and 1600.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thomas BLACK can be reached on (571) 272-6956. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Yonel Beaulieu/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3661